

Presenter: Trisha Tee

Judged by: [REDACTED]

**Poster Content – 15 Points for this section**

Poster Content

Total:

10

(Out of 15 Points)

Please only use increments of .5

Was the background/introduction section adequate?

Was the hypothesis or issue to be investigated stated clearly?

Were the results described in a logical and clear way?

How much work was accomplished?

Were the conclusions clearly stated and justified by the data obtained?

Was the significance of the hypothesis/findings emphasized?

**Poster Presentation – 10 Points for this section**

Poster Presentation

Total:

8

(Out of 10 Points)

Please only use increments of .5

Was the information on the poster organized in a clear and logical manner?

Could the text be read easily from a few feet away?

Did the figures and tables present the data in a readily understandable format?

**Oral Discussion – 25 Points for this section**

Oral Discussion

Total:

20

(Out of 25 Points)

Please only use increments of .5

Did the presenter have a sound understanding of the conceptual and technical approaches used?

Did he/she understand and answer questions effectively?

Did he/she convey the importance of the research?

Did he/she convey a sense of enthusiasm?

Total Score:

38

**Total Score (50 Points possible)**

**Poster Content + Poster Presentation + Oral Discussion**

Well explained & could answer questions about  
human applications... but no human-focused  
context (ie, implications or translational conclusions)  
appeared on poster. I encourage human  
application / extension even on the most  
basic science works.

I recall, really well done!!

Presenter: Trisha Tee

Judged by: [REDACTED]

## Poster Content – 15 Points for this section

Poster Content  
Total:

13.5

(Out of 15 Points)  
Please only use  
increments of .5

Was the background/introduction section adequate? *fair*

Was the hypothesis or issue to be investigated stated clearly? *fair*

Were the results described in a logical and clear way? *Good*

How much work was accomplished? *90%*

Were the conclusions clearly stated and justified by the data obtained? *Good*

Was the significance of the hypothesis/findings emphasized? *Good*

## Poster Presentation – 10 Points for this section

Poster Presentation  
Total:

10

(Out of 10 Points)  
Please only use  
increments of .5

Was the information on the poster organized in a clear and logical manner? *Good*

Could the text be read easily from a few feet away? *Good*

Did the figures and tables present the data in a readily understandable format? *Good*

## Oral Discussion – 25 Points for this section

Oral Discussion  
Total:

20

(Out of 25 Points)  
Please only use  
increments of .5

Did the presenter have a sound understanding of the conceptual and technical approaches used? *fair*

Did he/she understand and answer questions effectively? *Good*

Did he/she convey the importance of the research? *Good*

Did he/she convey a sense of enthusiasm? *fair*

Total Score:

43.5

### Total Score (50 Points possible)

Poster Content + Poster Presentation + Oral Discussion